THE MIDDLEBURY CAMPUS MIDDLEBURY COLLEGE (VT) 25 February 1983

Keep the School on the Hill

Recent events have made an evaluation were to make exceptions in its regula-Security Agency's unsanctioned meeting dependence. to recruit Middlebury sophomores and At Middlebury, every student is juniors, Professor David Rosenberg's recognized as an equal citizen within the disclosure of C.I.A. attempts to recruit community, regardless of race, sex, or nahim as an agency informant, and the retional origin. If an outside organization cent student protest against C.I.A. ac- wishes to be granted the privilege of tivities at the College, raise issues which recruiting Middlebury seniors on the

A policy statement, issued either by the students as equal, as well. College or by the Community Council, is On the question of CLA. and N.S.A. clearly in order. What we suggest is that recruitment of seniors on the campus, the any such statement be based not on the College should exercise its prerogative to private political opinions of any group say no, to protect its interests as an inwithin the Middlebury community, but on stitution of liberal education the interests of the College as a liberal Indeed, Middlebury students should arts institution. It is with this in mind that not be denied the opportunity to seek we offer the following proposals for community consideration.

First and foremost, it is essential that the College disavow any connection, for-enough to make up their own minds" on mal or informal, between the intelligence agencies and individual faculty members or students. The prospect of campus surveillance would obstruct the primary objective of the College - free academic inquiry. For this reason, Middlebury should exercise its perogative and say no to the new program outlined by the N.S.A. in its meeting with the Russian majors in Voter Hall, and no to the recruitment of College professors by the

Secondly, the College should prohibit C.I.A. and N.S.A. recruitment of Middlebury seniors on the campus itself. In order to be eligible to recruit on the campus, College policy requires that an organization be an equal-opportunity employer; the C.I.A. and the N.S.A., with their exclusion of foreigners, clearly violate this condition.

That this discriminatory policy may be justified by the nature of the intelligence agencies's objectives, is not the issue. Middlebury College has its own interests as an institution of liberal education, and primary among these is its obligation to examine critically the assumptions upon which our society is based. If the College

of the relationship between the nation's tions for government agencies, it would intelligence agencies and Middlebury be accepting the values of society un-College unavoidable. The National critically, compromising its academic in-

the College cannot ignore. campus, it must recognize all the

employment with the Central Intelligence Agency. We concur with Ted Truscott's opinion that students are old the choice of their employers. However, this does not mean that the College should facilitate active C.I.A. and N.S.A. recruitment of seniors on the campus. An informational session, open to all students, might be more appropriate.

Thus, we urge the Community Council to commission an investigation of the current status of the intelligence agencies on campus. Rosenberg is probably not the only Middlebury professor to be approached by the C.I.A., and others may not have rejected the agency's offer as Rosenberg did. An accurate assessment of the intelligence agencies's influence at Middlebury is critical to both the preservation of an uninhibited academic atmosphere at the College, and to the formulation of an appropriate policy governing the relationship between the agencies and the College.

This is an issue demanding the immediate attention of the College community. We believe that it is an issue which should be resolved in a manner consistent with Middlebury's interests as a liberal arts institution, and that it is an issue that should be resolved quickly.